



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_11762
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of bioaerosol source location and ceiling fan direction on eggcrate upper-room ultraviolet germicidal irradiation
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No comments	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	No comments	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is written very well and it is very interesting. It is a pity that the Authors do not provide anywhere in text the power distribution of UV radiation dose in the room – maybe it is worth to add such information.	Thank you for your comments. This is a good suggestion, but the power distribution of UV radiation dose in the room cannot be measured directly because it will also depend on air mixing—that is, exposure time of the bacteria—which is very difficult to measure. Therefore we are unable to include this in our paper. (This power distribution would not be expected to affect any of our conclusions.)