



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_11948
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance to thaumasite form of sulphate attack of blended cement mortars
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1- There are standard acronyms for granulated blast-furnace slag and fly ash such as “GGBS” for granulated blast-furnace slag and “FA” for the fly ash. It is suggested to use these acronyms. 2- There are many spelling mistakes. Also, there should be a uniform use of the words; e.g. either use groundwater or ground water, sea water or seawater. It is suggested to check the spelling and grammar carefully. 3- Some of the sentences are wordy and confusing. 4- Sequence of figures in section 3.3.1 needs to be checked. 5- Rest of the corrections are highlighted in the manuscript	They were corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall, paper is well written and is based on a comprehensive experimental study. Therefore, I accept this paper and strongly recommend to publish. Durability of structures is an important topic, which needs more attention of the researchers now, as overall, global environment is changing rapidly.	