



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12277
Title of the Manuscript:	Thermogravimetric and Kinetic of thermal degradation of Powdered Laboratory Examination Gloves: 1. Analysis of thermal Scan Traces from 310-440°C.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This was a very in-depth research project, particularly for a journal article. For the most part of manuscript, it was well written and well organized. There was a definite need for a short review of literature to develop the situation. The manuscript reported the complicated data due to the results as outputs of TGA test and described the parameters of data used. Overall, it was a very interesting, significant contribution to the field of research. The authors are rewarded for new data and interpretations with academic and scientific degrees. Presenting the data or interpretations of others written and organized well. The point of ethical issues in this manuscript, the research and analysis were well done.	Thank you.