



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12416
Title of the Manuscript:	LIFESPAN OF ASPILIA AFRICANA DYE SENSITISED SOLAR CELL USING BENZOIC ACID.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The main idea of the article is that: addition of benzoic acid to the dye extracted from the flower of <i>Aspilia africana</i> can improve the performance of the DSSC synthesized by this dye. I have the following comments: - The main idea is interesting. - Figs 1-3 which show the paste, sintered paste, and the assembled DSSC are well known and must be removed from the paper. - Graphs 1 and 2 must be combined in one figure to show the difference. Graphs 3 and 4 must also be combined in one figure. The same for graphs 5 and 6. The same for graphs 7 and 8. - Graph 9 is not clear. Moreover, the table beside graph 9 is also not clear. - The paper needs careful language editing and corrections.	The corrections were observed and done. Thank you for the review.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		