



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12497
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Studies for Shore Protection Design
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Only a very few rectifications of form and orthography/writing are recommended. References must be reviewed. A lot of references in the references list are not mentioned in the text Take into consideration the instructions of the SDI paper template No ethical issues to raise. The paper is well presented and the subject is well explained.	<i>The paper form and orthography/writing has been carefully reviewed.</i> <i>References have been reviewed. The references are not are not mentioned in the text have been eliminated.</i> <i>Done.</i>