



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12532
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ALUMINOSILICATE REFRACTORY BRICK USING UNWANA BEACH SILICA SAND, EKEBEDI AND UNWANA CLAYS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The topic of the paper is relevant. However, some comments have been added in this guide in order to publish the paper in the Journal. - English language should be improved in all of the paper. - Please, be careful with the text format (heading and subheading). - Please, rewrite conclusion because abstract has been repeated. Competing interest -yes.	-The language has been improved. -SDI template has been followed correctly (heading and subheading). - The conclusion has been represented. - Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please, improve the quality of tables	The quality of the tables had been worked on.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Major revision should be done in order to publish the paper	