SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_12532**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ALUMINOSILICATE REFRACTORY BRICK USING UNWANA BEACH SILICA SAND, EKEBEDI AND UNWANA CLAYS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The authors have studied the production and characterization of aluminosilicate refractory brick depending on the Unwana beach silica sand content and also, Ekebedi and Unwana clays content. Their results seem to be acceptable and this work can be published in British J. Appl. Science and Technology. Before it is accepted for publication, I suggest that the authors refer to all the tables and figures in the section of results. It looks that Fig. 4 is not referred to in the text.	The Fig. 4. which is now Fig. 6. was referred, check line 227.
Optional/General comments		