



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12830
Title of the Manuscript:	A Metrological Contribution to the Diagnosis of Bovine Tuberculosis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A very good paper but the following minor comments need to be addressed 1. No consistency in reference citation in the text; references in the text are cited in square brackets but some reference without square brackets unless it is the journal's guideline. 2. In Page 4 Table 1, there is a charac "a" between the numbers. What indicates this letter? 3. In the Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations part, are there any ACRONYMS listed?	1. According to the General Guideline for Authors, in the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. 2. It was corrected . 3. The word Acronyms was removed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	ethical issues–Yes	According to the General Guideline for Authors, ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE) This section is compulsory for medical journals. Other journals may require this section if found suitable. If human subjects are involved, informed consent, protection of
		privacy, and other human rights are further criteria against which the manuscript will be judged. It should provide a statement to confirm that the authors have obtained all necessary ethical approval from suitable Institutional or State or National or International Committee. This confirms either that this study is not against the public interest, or that the release of information is allowed by legislation.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		