



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12848
Title of the Manuscript:	Smallholder Farm Households' Vulnerability and Adaptation to Climate-Induced Food Insecurity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Article needs to be edited to ensure clarity and correctness. Spelling and grammatical mistakes weaken the paper and can lead to misunderstandings of the findings. Abstract needs to mention the study location. Additional details on the data collection method and analysis is required. Were the husband and wife interviewed together? By gender-specific interviewees? Who conducted the interviews? Were any other methods used to triangulate or verify self-reported data? Figures differ in the paper – see line 400-401 and 477, for example. **Note: Second reviewer should verify equations; this is not my field of expertise.	Editing has been made to the entire document The abstract has been revised Data collection method and analysis have been elaborated Correction has been made to the entire document
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Climate change will not have the same impacts globally. This study would be strengthened with specific data for the study site, rather than general and global trends. What are the expectations with regard to rainfall, temperature, sea level rise, extreme weather events and so forth and how will these specifically affect the study location. It would be useful for the paper to contextualize these findings with current intervention activities in the study area and link the findings to recommendations. Exactly how is the “food condition” to be addressed? How is it being addressed at present? Why is that not working, or insufficient?	Some locally specific climate data and analysis has been added to the paper. (Comprehensive analysis on the local climate condition and its impact on rice-yield has been published on other paper) Correction has been made
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article has interesting findings with regard to the ranking of vulnerability.	