



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12957
Title of the Manuscript:	Orthogonal Azimuthal Cross-square Arrays Electrical Resistivity Technique's Kookiness on Detection for Angular Disposition of Electrical Anisotropy
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) The figure 1 should be enlarging correctly. 2) The author should use simple English. 3) "Figure 2: Geoelectrical parameters of ARS fourth point" is not mention in the text and do not include in the paper. 4) On page 3, at Methodology and data analysis part the reference should be write as (Saleh and Likkason, 2011)	1) The figure is adjustable. Can be adjusted to any required size at the point of printing. 2) Most important is the correctness of the grammatical structure that is critically adhered by the author. 3) That has been implemented. 4) Has been corrected.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) Methodology of the research is too simple 2) How the authors get the resistivity values? It should be discuss in the paper. 3) The discussion for table 1 should be detail. Too much number, I suggest the author can put it into graph so that we can see the relationship.	1) Has been enriched. 2) Has been adequately complied. 3) Putting the table in graph would make the information clumsy. Explanation regarding the contents of the table have been enriched as shown the in the corrected article
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		Article has been corrected