



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12964
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF SOME PHYSIOCHEMICAL IMPACTS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW) ON SOILS: A CASE STUDY OF LANDFILL AREAS OF LAGOS, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Authors must improve the quality of tables. Avoid vertical rules. - Pay attention to "pH". It is, sometimes, written as PH. Please revise.	The preponderance of advised corrections have been effected in the entire manuscript. However, with all humility, the authors submit that the suggested alteration in the current table format will lead to value depreciation. Hence, they have been retained. From our evaluation, pH was only singularly written as PH when it began a sentence.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is well organized and scientifically robust. I think it can be published after minor revision.	