



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13300
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Analysis of Compact H-Like Element Microstrip Reflectarray Antenna for X-Band Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In Fig. 1, the captions of the two elements are wrong. Please correct them. 2. In line 53, the authors think that the new structure proposed in this paper gives a better reflection phase range and phase variation compared to the conventional square element. However, it is not found that the comparison results between them about the reflection phase range and the phase variation. Please add the analyses about them. 3. In the paper, only the simulation results of the antenna are shown. Please give the measured results of the antenna. Also, the whole geometry of the antenna should be added.	1. Caption of Figure 1 has been corrected. 2. The necessary correction to line 53 has been added and becomes as: "better reflection phase range compared to the conventional square element". The comparison requires are also included in Table 1. 3. The fabrication and full experimental examination of the antenna is rather complex, and we feel it can be the subject of a future publication. The simulation results included in the paper are comprehensive. The whole geometry of the antenna is added in Figure 6 as required by the reviewer. The numbers of other figures that follow Figure 6 are also corrected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		