



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13315
Title of the Manuscript:	LEAD Removal from Contaminated Water by Corn and Palm Nut Husks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The language of the manuscript is poor. 1. Introduction should be rewritten by removing the irrelevant material for example in first paragraph only 16 lines with 16 references. Same is there in 2nd paragraph. It's better to reduce the number of references by removing irrelevant material. 2. Include the following references. Extraction of Pb (II) from Water Samples by Ionic Liquid Modified Silica Sorbents. Desalination and Water Treatment DOI: 10.1080/19443994.2014.922497. Arsenic bioremediation by low cost materials derived from Blue Pine (<i>Pinus wallichiana</i>) and Walnut (<i>Juglans regia</i>) Ecological Engineering, 51 (2013) 88–94. The result and discussion part is very small and should be extended by discussing the adsorption processes.	Author is appreciative of the reviewer for the time and effort expended on this manuscript and the valuable corrections and comments. The corrections and comments have been integrated into the revised manuscript. 1. This has been corrected 2. These references have been integrated into the text and reference section. This has been incorporated into the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		