



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13562
Title of the Manuscript:	Multiple criteria decision making approach for evaluating management options: A case of New Zealand dairy farming
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript should have a minor revision: a) Abstract: The author spent too much effort on explaining the model and forgot to write an introductory sentence and the study's objectives. Also forgot to write a conclusion. Therefore, the abstract seems like is missing something; b) The author did not include a literature review section or a theoretical section. The paper goes from 1. Introduction straight to Methodology. It seems that the author wrote both in the introduction section. c) The conclusion is too short mainly because there is a lot of missing detail from the obtained results.	We wish to thank the reviewer for his critical comments. We have modified the abstract by incorporating an introductory sentence, clarifying the objectives. WE agree with the reviewer's comments that we have combined the introduction and literature review section, as is the usual practice with the top notch journals. Moreover, our Conclusion section also follows the usual trend wherein the findings are usually not placed as it amounts to repetition. .
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		