



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13587
Title of the Manuscript:	Cytotoxic, antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of <i>Annona muricata</i> L. seed and pulp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	After a small technical correction work can be published. The work is really well done	All technical corrections were corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	After a small technical correction work can be published. The work is original, has a small technical errors to be corrected but to continue research on this topic. Resolution images to be corrected because the graphics do not look good.	1) Resolution images to be corrected because the graphics do not look good. A: Figure 1 was eliminated based on the suggested by reviewer n° 2