



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13654
Title of the Manuscript:	Three fresh plant seeds as natural dye sensitizers for titanium dioxide based dye sensitized solar cells
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This manuscript certainly does contain some data on three new dyes on which DSSC data had not been available till then. Though the results may not be remarkable, these data can be useful for further work on natural dyes and in that sense this paper can be accepted for publication. The authors could have covered the literature in more details. The results of changing the pH could be placed in perspective Otherwise the paper is acceptable	Remark: please note that all changes are underlined and red-colored in the revised manuscript. In the revised manuscript, the literature has been enriched. Please see page 2. More details have been added on the effect of pH. Please see pages 6 and 7.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		