



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13768
Title of the Manuscript:	A DESIGN OF BUMP SENSOR MECHANISM FOR ROBOTIC FISH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Remove those numbers on the left margin they are distorting the layout. On results and discussion Discuss test result for each experiment followed by the diagrammatic representation and statistical analysis	The numbering are not the Authors work, they are the line numbers inserted for easy of reviewing. The format we adopted is 1. Methodology 2. Experiments/Test 3. Results obtained 4. Discussions/Observation 5. Conclusion We hope to perform much more extensive measurements with the robot in our future work. This will enable a rigorous statistical analysis to be presented.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Paragraph 1 line 6 must read "will be depressed" or 'will be pressed" Verify paragraph 1 line 12 "undebouncce"	Corrected Corrected and modified for clarity
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This paper is of high quality, just attend to the noted points above	