



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJAST_3259
Title of the Manuscript:	TOXICITY AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL EFFECT OF CYPERMETHRIN ON JUVENILES OF <i>CLARIAS GARIEPINUS</i>

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- This is a good article of toxicology, with accurate and important informations. Text with consistency.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- In the first paragraph of the introduction, part is referenced, but the second part of the paragraph not. - Some errors are present as open and not close parenthesis (line 35). - Scientific names should all be in <i>italics</i>. - In the Material and Methods, cites a formula ($C1V1 = C2V2$), but it was not clear what it is about. - I suggest a brief review of the English, because some words are repeated in the text and there is no need (example the word "each" in line 69). Also on lines 76 and 77, the word "then" could be the beginning of the sentence, grammatical agreement only, example: Then they were... - In the results, line 87, is cited 3 concentrations, but two of them are equal. It was probably a typo error. - Table 4.1 should be cited as Table 1, because it has no other tables cited in the article. - When dealing with lethal concentrations, use the subscript function in Word (CL_{50}) and not (CL50).	The statement is in order the way it is. The correction have been effected All have been italicized. It has been deleted. These have been effected. It has been effected. It has been attended to. Corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	- The paragraph which lies between lines 96 and 106 will be better framed in discussions of this article, since it is a discussion of the results obtained in this study compared to results obtained by other authors.	Corrected
	- The concentration values (Log) are different when compared to the table (4.1) with Fig (1). Will best represent the data with the concentrations used for research.	
	- On line 116 lacked a space between "vacuolation.A". Only typo error.	Effected
	- In lines 164, 166, 168 and 171 was typed some more spaces, example (Plate VII), has a space between Plate and VII and that should not have. In line 224, the same with (LC50).	Effected
	- It was cited throughout the article (line 166) the Plate VIII b, but this plate is not in the article, only found the plate VIII.	
	- During the paper have two plate III (lines 143 and 149). From plate V the next is the plate VII, the plate VI missed. Need to rename the plates and follow an order.	Effected
	- Some paragraphs are not justified (rules text editing - Word).	Effected
	- The line 175 can be withdrawn from the article as it is previously mentioned information and not add new information to the article.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

- A table part is in the middle of the references. Place the table at end of article (review standards of the journal).

	- Standardize the citations of references. Sometimes, “et al” is following the point and comma, however sometimes not. Following a standard and in accordance with the standards of the Journal. - References cited at the end of the article must be cited in full, with volume, page, number of periodicals. They need to follow an order, or alphabetically or in order of citation in article, in accordance with the standards of the British Journal of Applied Science & Technology. Still, the references need to be standardized, example: sometimes the date of publication of the reference in parentheses, sometimes not; names and surnames, sometimes begin with capital letters, sometimes not, in short, every one should follow standard. - The text is quoted reference Bradbury and Coats, 1989^b and at the end of the article, line 231, is the reference Bradbury and Coats, 1989^a. In this article, as it was not used more than one reference to these authors this year of publication, there is no need to separate them by letters. - In the references (from page 227) are some of the references used to write the article, but throughout the article (thread) is many other references that are not cited at the end of the article, example: Omitoyin et al. (2006) , Omitoyin et al. (2002), Aguigwo (2002), Rahman et al. (2002), Cengiz et al. (2001), Velmurugan et al. (2003), Adamu et al. (2008) , Jee et al. (2005). Check it!	Effected Effected Effected Effected
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--