



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <b><u>British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</u></b>                                                                                             |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>2013_BJAST_4567</b>                                                                                                                                        |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Effect of Blanching and Osmotic Pre-treatment on Drying Kinetics, Shrinkage and Rehydration of Chayote (<i>Sechium edule</i>) during Convective Drying</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Research paper</b>                                                                                                                                         |

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2:** Review Comments

|                                            | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>The authors should address the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) There should be detailed description of methods.</li> <li>2) Equation 4 is missing and as such should either be reintroduced or the other equations should be renumbered.</li> <li>3) There should be a detailed discussion for each figure and each figure should be given a full title that allows the figure to be self-sufficient/defined.</li> </ol> <p>There some reference in the text that are not on the list and vice versa.</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Detailed description of method provided</li> <li>2. Equations renumbered to include "equation 4"</li> <li>3. Titles of the figure spelt out in full to make them self-sufficient/defined.</li> <li>4. References checked</li> </ol> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | Grammatical errors noted in the article have been marked in the attached scanned copy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    | This paper is very short but might qualify for short communication after revision.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |