



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJAST_5978
Title of the Manuscript:	Age prevalence of leiomyoma uteri; a histopathologic study
Type of the Article	It is a retrospective (descriptive) study to demonstrate age prevalence cases of uterine fibroid in a Nigerian Tertiary Health Facility over a 10 year period of 2001 - 2010

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study gave a local audit of cases of histologically confirmed uterine leiomyomata in a hospital in Nigeria, especially age prevalence. From the table, a total of 1261 fibroid cases were included in the study, giving an average of 126 cases per year in the study period. Considering the fact that the intention of the study was to provide some demographic information. The Author, however failed to provide some information e.g. (i) What were the total gynaecological consultations for the study period? This will afford information on the prevalence of fibroid in the study population. (ii) Were all cases of histologically confirmed fibroid included in the study? If not, what was the 'retrieval rate' for the study	This study intended a domestic audit in leiomyoma tissues in a histopathology unit of a hospital. Clinical data were not involved.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 64 – should read “females of child bearing ages” Line 65 – should read “as was observed in this study, where Generally, the Author need to pay attention to punctuations in the entire manuscript. Also, it is generally not a common practice to start a sentence with figure (see line 58).	These corrections have been effected.
Optional/General comments	The quality of the write-up will be greatly improved with some language editing	These corrections have been effected.