



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_BJAST_5978
Title of the Manuscript:	Age prevalence of leiomyoma uteri; a histopathologic study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The title is "Age prevalence of leiomyoma uteri; a histopathologic study". However, I could not get any data about histopathology from the paper. Please explain. 2. In the Material and methods, there are no data indicating the inclusion criteria of the women. Are these women diagnosed firstly in the hospital? 3. The results are too simple. How many asymptomatic or symptomatic women are there? What about their haemoglobin? What about their signs and ultrasonography? Are all these women undergoing surgeries? What surgeries? Please specify. 4. In the women with surgeries, what about their gross findings and microscopic histopathologic data? Please specify.	This was a retrospective study in which the pattern of uterine Leiomyomas as it relates to age was presented
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		