



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6140
Title of the Manuscript:	The applications of analytic signal method in archaeological investigations of part of Lejja pre-historic site, south-eastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This is an excellent well written paper and does not need revisions. It is, well supported in arguments and supported by very good figures and up-dated references. The only "mistake" that I found is the reference concerning "Bahn, 2003" in the line 27 of the text and "Bann" in line 241 in the references section.	Thanks for detecting the error. It was suppose to read Bann. The correction has been effected. I am grateful for the pleasant comments made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		