



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_7253
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCESSIBILITY AND FLOW OF AGRICULTURAL COMMODITY IN ORIRE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction: Literature used is too obsolete and should be supported with current updates or replace with current sources. Some key words in the title (agricultural commodity and peculiarity of the area of study which probably informed the interest of the researcher in the study area was not addressed). Consider incorporating from no.81-106 to the introduction (from no.66) to make up for the shortfalls. Then the second segment of the introduction may concentrate on previous studies on the effects of transportation on agricultural productivity with emphasis on Nigeria situation. Provide sources for citations nos .54 -65. And expunge the last sentence in the introduction because it is not connected to any discussion at that point. Recast sentences from nos. 81-84 ("because" in the beginning of a sentence and the word "feared"). Material and methods: provide the sampling techniques used for the selection of the towns and agricultural commodity and probably the reasons for the selection if purposive sampling was done. Specify at the onset the population of the study. Results and discussion: Generally, the data/results of the study were not discussed (major lapses in this section and should be adequately addressed). Discussions or explanation from nos. 200-224, 245-247 and 304-320	Comments have been address This has been treated



SDI Review Form 1.6

	should be transferred to materials and methods because it is not part of the results or discussion. Also hypothesis is most appropriate after the objective of the study and should be either of the two types (null or alternative). I suggest that you use the summary table on chi-square instead of the full computation table as that did not add any value to the results. The data presentation style is very clumsy with repetition of same words severally in a sentence. Consider recasting nos. 278-300 for clarity. Table or data on mode of transportation not shown. Sentence from no. 330 should be the beginning of another sub -heading. Presentation in nos331-335 is shown in figure 6 as indicated but Table 6. Repetition of: shown in Table 6 etc should be avoided. Conclusion: Is not based on the results of the study and citations at the level are not acceptable. Thus expunge nos372-389. Reference : The following citations were not referenced or cited in the text - -Aderamo (2010), Aderamo et al (2010) Cited but Aderamo and Magaji (2010) referenced, Also the following were not cited in the text but referenced - - Abler, R; and Gould, P. R (1972); Goddard, J. B (1970); Lowe, J. and Moryadas, S. (1975), Ullman, E. L. (1974).	Corrections effected Corrected These references are not needed again.
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Tables should be upper case, title of figures below,, one sentence paragraph not appropriate, The author should be consistent with tenses in the presentation of data and referencing pattern in the text (citation of multiple authors).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Consider concentrating the second phase of the introduction on previous researches in the area or related areas.	This has now been done, see 107