



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_7253
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCESSIBILITY AND FLOW OF AGRICULTURAL COMMODITY IN ORIRE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Regular

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The revision comments are provided on the manuscript: 1. A meaningful conclusion should be extracted from the study and inserted at the end of the Abstract. 2. A section on statistical analyses of the survey should be included in M&M 3. An Appendix should be added to list the questionnaires 4. Seed comment on Table 7.	Relevant conclusion, included in the abstract The technique of χ^2-statistic used is explained. Appendix of questionnaires used is added Title of table 7.0 modified /removed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Needs (heavy) language editing	Language used has been edited.