



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_7727
Title of the Manuscript:	Dualisms and Symmetries in Physical Exergy Property and Generalized Formulation Related to the Carnot and Joule Cycles
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Not required	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) References should be in order. (Ex. In Ref. 1 the year 2014 must be written in last as written in other references) 2) Formatting of paper as per Journal's guideline.	Noted, references and formatting are according to Journal's guidelines.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	3) The assumptions used in this study have published (Ref.1) in this journal by author. 4) This is the second & conclusive part of whole study where theoretical analysis of physical exergy property is reported. 5) Figures are clear & correct. 6) Derived equations are technically correct. 7) All the discussions are based on derived formulae and supported by literature. 8) Conclusion is sound and supported by literature. 9) References are good but need sequencing. 10) Overall manuscript is acceptable and worth to publish.	Noted.