



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_8199
Title of the Manuscript:	TRACE METAL DISTRIBUTION IN FISH, SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FROM NKISA RIVER, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 6 change C_o to Co Arranging metals through the paper must be the same You must put the charges of the studied metals	Co has been changed to Co in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are many repeated sentences, you must use different sentences	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Accepted after minor revision Nice piece of work is given in this manuscript.	