



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_8199
Title of the Manuscript:	TRACE METAL DISTRIBUTION IN FISH, SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FROM NKISA RIVER, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Review Conclusion: Publish only after minor revision to address comments General Comments: The article provide a valuable study on heavy metals. Information provided will be of great utility to both research and resource management communities. However, data analyses with mean value along with a lack of inclusion of p-values, Sd or S.E. must be addressed in a revision prior to being acceptable for publication. Specific comments to be addressed in revision prior to publication: Abstract: Page 1 line 7: Delete three Line 12: correct the fish scientific names <i>Protoplerus annecteus</i> should be corrected to its valid name i.e <i>Protopterus annectes annectes</i> Also the name <i>Claries</i> should be corrected to <i>Clarias</i> Introduction: Page 2 line 38 change ; to , Materials and method: Page 4 line 76 change ; to , Indicate the distance between stations in the collection of water samples section if possible Page 5 line 99 and 100 correct the fish scientific names to	The mean values and standard deviation have been calculated as shown in Tables 1, 2 and3, respectively. Abstract: All the corrections in the abstract have been effected including fish scientific names. Introduction: All the corrections have been effected. Materials and method: The fish scientific names have been changed as shown in the text. The year of collection of fish sample is 2012. The commercial value of the fish sample and its feeding habit have been given in Materials and method section in the text.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<i>Protopterus annectes annectes</i> and <i>Clarias</i> In the section collection of fish samples: - indicate the year of fish collection - Write in brief about its commercial value in the area and one to two lines of its feeding habits In the section Analysis of water samples line 110 add Franson before APHA Page 6: Put the station numbers in the map in order to know their positions Page 7 line 157 add this sentence in the beginning of the paragraph: Fishes collected from all stations were pooled together. Line 167 delete ; after <i>et al</i> Line 192 delete ; after <i>et al</i> Results and Discussion Page 9 table 1 This table should include mean + and – SE or SD and indicate p value or significant difference This should be done to other tables. Page 14 383 delete , which is after station Page 16 Table 3 Fish scientific names should be corrected as noted earlier. The fish names should be written in italic Line 340 correct the fish scientific names as given earlier Page 17 line 343 delete , after <i>Tilapia</i> and write it as <i>Tilapia miligi</i> Line 344 Write the fish scientific name in italic Page 19 line 392 and 393 correct the fish names as noted earlier Line 407 the generic name for the species <i>sadillina</i> is missing write the scientific name correctly.	The numbering of sampling stations have been done as shown in Fig.1. Results and Discussion The calculations of mean and standard deviation have been done in all the Tables in the text. The fish scientific names have been written as shown in the text.
--	--	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--