



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_8459
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF BULB SIZE AT PLANTING AND DROUGHT STRESS ON GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF ONION (<i>Allium cepa</i> L) IN THE NORTHERN REGION OF GHANA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Try to include recent publications and related information since they will enrich your findings - Try to revise again the acknowledgment section because there is a contradiction of ideas	Recent publications have been included and highlighted. The acknowledgement section has been revised.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- It will be much more informative work if it has a compilation of data at least of two different seasons or the same season data but which is collected from different experimental sites	Field experiments of the present study started in year 2012 and repeated the same period and manner in year 2013. Means of data collected in the two years were computed prior to entering them into spread sheet for statistical analysis. All these details have been incorporated in the revised version. Thank you.