



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_8908
Title of the Manuscript:	AN INVESTIGATION ON SILICONE AND SILICONE RUBBER STENTS IN COMPARISON WITH STAINLESS STEEL STENTS: INTRODUCING A DOUBLE LAYER STENT MODEL
Type of the Article	Scientific Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is of major interest to the area of biomaterials, it shows important properties of double-layer silicone rubber silicone in medical application.	Thank you.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	A higher identification of the parameters studied in the manuscript as: the mechanical properties, B , K , ρ , and others.	It has been taken care of in the appendix.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Without further observations. Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	