



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_8984
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Studies on the Effect of Drying Methods on Proximate, Functional and Pasting Properties of Fufu Flour Fortified with Soybean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Topic should be modified to Effects of Drying Method on Physicochemical Properties of Fufu flour fortified with soybean 2. Author should improve the introduction section by including other literatures that has attempted to fortify fufu. 3. Author should specify the aperture size of the screen used after obtaining the flour for reproducibility 4. What experimental design was used? How much of soybean was added to the fufu. Was there a range? 5. Production of soybean flour is not a new method, therefore, author should use an established procedure with a reference 6. The technical language used in writing the discussion section should be modified. Authors should use word like drying methods did not significantly affect the proximate composition of the fufu flour.	The title had been modified More literature had been cited in the introduction The aperture size had been given Reference had been cited
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		