



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9050
Title of the Manuscript:	BREAST CANCER SCREENING AWARENESS AND PRACTICE AMONG WOMEN IN SAGAMU LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA: A COMMUNITY BASED STUDY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	From my point of view, the following corrections should be performed: 1- The Introduction must be shorter and it should focus on data about Nigeria and developing countries. In this sense, lines from 43 to 58 should be deleted. 2- There should be specific references to the tables in the main text. 3- The language of the manuscript must be formal. That is why contractions such as 'didn't' (line 281) should be avoided and the extended version should be used ('did not'). After performing these minor corrections, I consider that this manuscript should be published.	CORRECTED DONE CORRECTED THANK YOU SIR



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript seems to be the result of an investigation that is very important to the country and the region. It is a descriptive work with clear objectives and accurate methodology.	THANK YOU