



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9398
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Storage on the Quality Attributes of Concentrates of Two Mango (<i>Mangifera indica</i>) Varieties Grown in Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Check data tables. Table 3: in Baladi variety at 6 months Reducing Sugars too much high, similarly, TSS less than sugars, is it possible?. Table 7: in Abu Samaka variety, acceptability at 6 month equivalent to zero day of same variety.	The data in Tables 3 and 7 were checked, it is a typographical errors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		