



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9426
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydrogeochemical Characteristics and Evaluation of the Drinking and Irrigation water Quality in Marand Plain, East Azerbaijan, NW Iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Paper is a contribution to NW Iran groundwater quality focused on Marand Plain. The paper is well written and organised. However, some aspects should be reviewed before publishing: 1) It would be good use the same coordination system in Figures 1 and 3.	This has been done.
Minor comments	2) Line 107 page 4: Which sediments of the Miocene evaporation sediments do you consider to high alkaline concentrations. Give some detail. 3) Line 109 page 4: The quote [6] from 2005 seems obsolete. Is not there more recent data? 4) The quote DeWiest appears as Dewiest in line 136 of page 6 meanwhile in the references appears as De Wiest. Please, correct. 5) Please, to review the references. Perhaps there is a mistake in line 185 of page 8: Nickson, R.T. and ¿etal?	These have been completely carried out. Thank you once again as you suggestions were welcomed.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		