



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9887
Title of the Manuscript:	Coag-flocculation approach to removal of colloidal particles from brewery waste water using okra seed extract
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In general the paper provides a research that is good enough to be published, although authors have submitted the papers with a lot of grammar errors such as lack of space between words, wrong handling of superscripts etc; also, units are not in the standard form for instance: mg/L should be mg L⁻¹. I am enclosing the pdf with some yellow marks where there are minor errors such lack of space, or notation. Also, there are posted notes with the observations for specific points I have some observations about English writing but I am not sure because I learned English at USA Paper deserves being published but first errors should be corrected I am thankful for the opportunity as reviewer and I hope this revision be useful to you	(All corrections are highlighted in yellow color) Thank you sir for the observations and comments made on manuscript, the grammatical errors and spacing has been corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		