



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10216
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy metals assessment in vegetables irrigated with urban water: A case study in District Sargodha

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors took in account some recommendations and the paper was modified; however I consider that two important aspects were not considered. One of them is related to the results of factorial analysis that appears in tables 1 and 2, which I consider is not right in this case since the authors are working on two different species. On the other hand, in the authors' comments they say that three samples were used by treatment; however this information should be included in the paper. Some comments were made in the revised paper.	I have again revised the research manuscript and incorporated the changes suggested by evaluators. The manuscript No. 2014_BJAST_10216 entitled Heavy metals assessment in vegetables irrigated with urban water: A case study in District Sargodha. I apology to incorporate the second option to apply factorial analysis separately. According to my point of view statistical analysis which appears in table one and two is correct because, I just want to compare the two different species in regard to heavy metals uptake. I hope you will consider the research manuscript in present form for publication as all the other comments of evaluators have been incorporated.