



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_11844
Title of the Manuscript:	INSTITUTIONAL APPROACH TO FLOOD DISASTER MANAGEMENT IN NIGERIA: NEED FOR A PREPARDNESS PLAN

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I would express my gratitude to have provided improvements on the basis of my revision. In order to publish the paper in the Journal the author should address these comments: - Abstract needs to be re-drafted. It should be clear the scope of the paper: why Lagos is a good alternative to other plans developed in Nigeria or maybe in other part of the world? The innovation that Lagos plan could give to Nigeria's government has not been highlighted in the abstract. - The author should reduce line 26-38 and provide some global literatures, e.g. Jha et al. 2012, Meyer et al., 2013 and Merz et al., 2010 (see below). - In sentence 75-76 the author should provide international literature, e.g. Albano et al. 2014 (see below) - Table 1 should be coupled with a map in which described the geographical location of the events. - The author should describe in some sentences the approach for stakeholder's involvement in Lagos plan. It could be essential in order to highlight the benefit of using the plan in other areas of Nigeria. - The "specific objects" (line 249) listed in lines 250-261 need to be discussed. You should mention how they have been incorporated and/or reached by the Lagos plan. How the methodology implemented in Lagos plan have been considered these objective and have absorbed them in the methodology. - If the author believes that lines 370-371 are essential, he should clarify the parts of the plan that believes to be reviewed. Albano, R., et al. (2014) A Systemic Approach to Evaluate the Flood Vulnerability for an Urban Study Case in Southern Italy. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 6, 351-362. Jha, A. K., Bloch, R., & Lamond, J. (2012). Cities and flooding: a guide to integrated urban flood risk management for the 21st century. World Bank Publications. Merz, B., Kreibich, H., Schwarze, R., & Thielen, A. (2010). Review article" Assessment of economic flood damage". Natural Hazards and Earth System Science, 10(8), 1697-1724. Meyer, V., et al. "Review article: Assessing the costs of natural hazards--state of the art and knowledge gaps." Natural Hazards & Earth System Sciences 13.5 (2013).	We thank the reviewer for his constructive comments and suggestions. We have effected all of them as shown in the attached work 1. The abstract has been revised 2. Lines 26-38 has been reduced as suggested 3. Two international literatures have been added 4. An additional map has been included as suggested 5. The role of stakeholders in the Lagos model has been elaborated upon 6. We have discussed the objectives in lines 250-261- in summary form because of space limits 7. The goodness of the Lagos model have been discussed further using new information collected from the Nigerian Hydrological Services Agency (NHSA), Abuja. 8. Lines 370-371 have been deleted in view of the new information at our disposal.