



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

000000000000PART 1:

Journal Name:	BritishJournalofAppliedScience&Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_12532
Title of the Manuscript:	PRODUCTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF ALUMINOSILICATE REFRACTORY BRICK USING UNWANA BEACH SILICA SAND, EKEBEDI AND UNWANA CLAYS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. The authors have failed to follow the recommendations suggested. Their responses were not based on answering to a constructive critic reply to help improve the paper. Instead, they opted to retain their view which does not help cultivating the quality of the manuscript. The paper is in need of major work up; it lacks substance and it is very had to follow. The findings are well presented and no major significant data are found, it is mainly a report of experimental data. More supportive analytical modelling should be added to supplement its content. Thank you.	Figures 1 to 4 have been included in the discussion as adviced earlier. Therefore, all figures and tables have been cited sequentially except Table 1 (Classification of Refractories Based on Chemical Composition) under intoduction was the only one that was not cited. However; citation involves two or more figures or tables like (Fig.2 - 5) or (Tables. 2 and 3) were interrelated wherever they were used, These were done base on recommendations suggested by the previous FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper and to improve the quality of the manuscript. Figure . 2 has been changed to the photo of the brick sample used for Linear Shrinkage. All recommendations suggested had been taken cere of. Authors are ready to effect any suggestion that will improve the quality of the manuscript.