



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10245
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Amino Acids profile, Minerals and Some Vitamins Present in Pigeon Pea (Cajanus Cajan) Flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The author(s) must flow the journal guidelines. 2. The title needs to be change to be read "Effect of Gamma Irradiation on Amino Acids Profile, Minerals and Some Vitamins Contents of Pigeon Pea (<i>Cajanus Cajan</i>) Flour" 3. The abstract needs to be rewritten e.g. lines 6-8 how the irradiation did not have effect on the AA, whereas the same time there is a significant reduction. 4. The keywords has to be rearranged Amino acids comes after Irradiation. 5. Delete lines 157-158 (The data is already in the Table) 6. Delete the word vitamin in line 205 7. Delete lines 209-210 (The data is already in the Table) 8. The conclusion has to be rewritten (The first part is complicated, the last part is not included in the study) more information has to be added.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The author(s) must flow the journal for writing the references in the text and in the list. 2. The author(s) has to revise the word amino acids in the text (sometimes they writ amino acid) 3. Line 81 the chemical formula has to be written correctly. 4. Line 109 <i>in vitro</i> must be in italics 5. Line 130 were instead of was.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abd Elmoneim O. Elkhalfa
Department, University & Country	Ahfad University for Women, Omdurman, Sudan