



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_7589
Title of the Manuscript:	An Overview of Energy Sector and Wind Power Potential in Malaysia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 20: "southwest monsoon blows or flows" Line 21: [1,2] Line 24: "known to be a new industrially developed" Line 37 [6,7] Line 48: the statement "The main reason that smoothen the progress of wind energy is climatic changes that seriously threaten the world...." is not clear. Please rewrite. Line 49, 50: "which will lead to the world temperature increase to 58°C during the next ten decades....." from what value??? Line 58: in Figure 1, the minimum value is not in 1997. Please check. Table 2: wind energy is not included in this projection. Is there no prospect for energy from wind in the future?? Line78: "from the Figure.." which Figure? Line 91: marked reduction in what? This statement is awkward Line 98, 99: "an significant....." please rewrite Line 100: what is BP? Define please. Line 117: "85,000Wh/year" reconsider the unit please Line 120: remove "were" Line 125: Table 7 or Table 3? Line 126: [23, 24] Table 3 title: ...towns ... Line 241: software cannot suggest anything. Rather, the results of analysis using the software showed that.....	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Table 11: wind potential lesser than 50 W/m² does not present a good prospect. Line 271: it is evident from this review that the country is heavily dependent on.... Reference: the author should ensure necessary compliance with the journal's referencing standards.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	English needs major improvement. The manner of expression does not reflect author's competence in English.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer