SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_10631**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Assessing the Potential of Solid Waste for Fertilizer Utilisation in the Akosombo Township, Ghana**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Revision of typing errors in the manuscript**	
Minor REVISION comments	Inclusion of three papers (below) which are highly relevant to this study and to readers from developing countries Alternative treatments for the municipal solid waste and domestic sewage in Campinas, Brazil, Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 81 (2013) 24-30. Analysis of the potential of municipal solid waste in Brazil, Environmental Development, vol. 4 ,pp 105-113, 2012. MSW Solid waste recycling as a mechanism for the social inclusion in Brazil, *Int. J.* Environment and Waste Management, Vol. 12, No. 3,pp 264-279, 2013.	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer