SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_9363**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative chelate-assisted phytoextraction of heavy metals by Jatropha curcas, Jatropha gossypifolia and Jatropha multifida cultivated on soil collected from selected dumpsites located in Ekiti State, South Western, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript is well written, and the results are very and convincing.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**The manuscript is very well written; clear, precise, and easy to understand.**	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Misurata University, Libya**