



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13631
Title of the Manuscript:	KINETICS AND PARTICLE REMOVAL PROFILE OF SNAIL SHELL - ALUM INDUCED COAG-FLOCCULATION OF QUARRY EFFLUENT.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-Plots have to be improved y axis is unclear, and x axis is declared as "timex60 sec" This means minutes? Leave it like min, Or what is purpose of this notation -why the legend had separated the line from the symbol?	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Discussion is about well organized and contribution of using snail shell is worthy of recognition	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Autonomous University of Puebla (BUAP), Puebla, Mexico