SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_6704**
Title of the Manuscript:	**VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDING SURVEY FOR GROUNDWATER EXPLORATION IN PARTS OF ANYIGBA AND ITS ENVIRONS, IN THE ANAMBRA BASIN OF NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Recent references must be used. It would be beneficial to value the article.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the methodology part the author should present the theoretical principles of the Schlumberger apparent resistivity ρ_a including corresponding references used. The usual equations used for the resistivity must be presented by indicating the meaning of the various parameters The hypotheses and the conditions of use of the IP2WIN software must be detailed. The used version must be also indicated.	
Optional/General comments	Figure illustrating the Schlumberger resistivity device with the ABEM (SAS 1000) terrameter would be beneficial for the article. The "Schlumberger" reference has to appear in the title. So it would be more in accordance with the paper to use the title "SCHLUMBERGER VERTICAL ELECTRICAL SOUNDING SURVEY ……."	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer