



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9433
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A CABINET SOLAR DRIER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is well written and the contents are interesting. However the author must explain the physical significance of their results. Though the results are interesting but they should be given in a more elaborative way in order to draw some conclusions. With these modifications, the paper may be accepted for publication.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are quite a few grammatical typos in the manuscript. Authors are requested to go through and correct them.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	National University of Sciences and Technology, Pakistan