



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_13003
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a chewing bench for testing dental materials: first stage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Congratulation for the manuscript. The manuscript is interesting and important to dentistry. Abstract: Suggest adding in conclusion: This chewing bench would hopefully reduce the gap between in vitro performance and in vivo observation and serve as a benchmark for existing materials and as a device for testing new ones. (line 20)	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 146 and Table 1: suggest adding + in 70°C.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniela Martins de Souza
Department, University & Country	School of Dentistry , Pindamonhangaba College (FAP), Christian Life University Foundation (FUNVIC), Pindamonhangaba, SP, Brazil