SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_8984**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative Studies on the Effect of Drying Methods on Proximate, Functional and Pasting Properties of Fufu Flour Fortified with Soybean**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**line** **19** **S**eparate botanic name of cassava eg *manihot* esculent cranz	
Minor REVISION comments	Line .**METHODS**. 60 Temperatures of drying for solar drying not mention No chart diagrams or explanation on how oven ,solar and sun drying were done 2.**DISCUSSIONS** Table 1and 2 not shown -Relate this value meaning to your rural and local processors	
Optional/General comments	ok Author should make this work coherent conveying related ideas.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ogori akama friday**
Department, University & Country	**Federal college of education kontagora ,niger state ,school of vocational education, Nigeria**