SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_13530**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Simple Mechanism For Superconductivity**
Type of the Article	**Short Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Please find my report prior to accepting the paper for publication:** **1- All the symbols in the paper must be clearly defined where ever they are needed.** **2- at the end of page 2 : add reference? Or must state that is a derivation of the author?** **3- line 60 in page 3: there is a discussion of 4 cases but not explained in terms of the above equations?** **4- line 81-82 in page 3 needs reasons ?** **5- page 4 fig. 2 : reference?** **6- Table 1 page 4: the values found in the table are very much different from those reported earlier why? Please discuss.** **7- Page 4 line 171 to line 181: can the author apply that and show us some reasonable values for superconductivity materials?**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Salwan Kamal Jamil Al-Ani**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq**