SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJAST_9426**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Hydrogeochemical Characteristics and Evaluation of the Drinking and Irrigation water Quality in Marand Plain, East Azerbaijan, NW Iran**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper is a contribution to NW Iran groundwater quality focused on Marand Plain. The paper is well writeen and organised. However, some aspects should be reviewed before publishing: 1) It would be good use the same coordination system in Figures 1 and 3.	
Minor comments	2) Line 107 page 4: Which sediments of the Miocene evaporation sediments do you consider to high alkaline concentrations. Give some detail. 3) Line 109 page 4: The quote [6] from 2005 seems obsolete. Is not there more recent data? 4) The quote DeWiest appears as Dewiest in line 136 of page 6 meanwhile in the references appears as De Wiest. Please, correct. 5) Please, to review the references. Perhaps there is a mistake in line 185 of page 8: Nickson, R.T. and ¿etal?	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer