



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_9363
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative chelate-assisted phytoextraction of heavy metals by <i>Jatropha curcas</i> , <i>Jatropha gossypifolia</i> and <i>Jatropha multifida</i> cultivated on soil collected from selected dumpsites located in Ekiti State, South Western, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Materials and methods, please provide: 1. What are the operational parameters of the atomic absorption spectrophotometer? 2. How this equipment is calibrated? 3. What calibration standards were used?	
Minor REVISION comments	1. The Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 are bad of quality. Please, "clean" the pictures using some computer software. The title of figures should be typewritten (in your case, the titles are scanned with the picture). 2. Fig. 3 is unnecessary, just use a table. Write the unit of measure of the height of plant.	
Optional/General comments	Too many tables. Check grammar: line 173 – after the equation use “,” (comma) Line 176: Do not capitalize the word “Where” Line 177: concentration of metals	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Shakarim Semey State University, Kazakhstan