SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_6447**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COW DUNG ASH (CDA) AS PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF CEMENTING MATERIAL IN THE PRODUCTION OF CONCRETE**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**English must be checked.** **Also, manuscript contains just results. Beyond results, authors must explain why CDA affects like that. Because of what (finess or etc.)**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ali Uğur Öztürk**
Department, University & Country	**Construction Materials Division, Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering** **Celal Bayar University, Turkiye**